

Enriched to include a disability dimension in the framework of the project  
[www.make-development –inclusive.org](http://www.make-development-inclusive.org); contact [catherine.naughton@cbm.org](mailto:catherine.naughton@cbm.org)

## FINANCING PROPOSAL

N° \_\_\_\_\_

<b>BENEFICIARY COUNTRY / REGION</b>			
<b>REQUESTING AUTHORITY</b>			
<b>TITLE</b>			
<b>TOTAL COST</b>	<i>Specify : EC contribution and if applicable contribution of the beneficiary country/Contribution of other donors</i>		
<b>AID METHOD</b>	Project approach		
<b>IDENTIFICATION N°</b>		<b>ALLOCATION (NIP/RIP)</b> (+ Specify if A or B envelope)	
<b>DAC-CODE</b>		<b>SECTOR</b>	

### 1. RATIONALE

#### 1.1. Strategic framework

*Consistency with NIP, making clear reference to relevant paragraphs, or, where the project is not foreseen by a NIP, EC development policy (reference to overarching objectives and priorities, namely poverty reduction, integration in world economy and good governance). Indication of relevant policy documents (e.g. Council resolutions, communications).*

#### **Disability checkpoint:**

Has disability been included in CSP?

Is any disability inclusion can be in line with the CSP?

✓ [See “How to explore the options for including a disability perspective in a CSP”](#)

#### 1.2. Lessons learnt

*Reference to reviews, assessments, monitoring results (ROM) and evaluations of previous actions, relevant to this specific project*

#### 1.3. Complementary actions

*Ongoing EC actions, actions of other donors and/or of the beneficiary country complementary to the present one*

#### 1.4. Donor coordination

*Description of dialogue between the beneficiary country and donors such as Bretton Woods Institutions (BWI), EU Member States (MS), as appropriate, and between donors (role of BWI, involvement of MS).*

*Summary of comments other donors provided during dialogue, where appropriate.*

## 2. COUNTRY (OR REGIONAL) CONTEXT

### 2.1. Economic and social situation

### 2.2. Development policy of beneficiary country

*Main policy and strategic priorities*

### 2.3. Sector context

*Characteristics and policies of concerned sector or thematic area (at regional level where appropriate) and main problems the project is intended to address*

#### ☞ **Disability checkpoint:**

What is the country context with regards to disability?

Is the sector concerned by disability inclusion?

- ✓ [See “Framework for a disability analysis at the country level”](#)
- ✓ [See: Justifications to support the inclusion of a disability perspective Health Sector](#)
- ✓ [See: Justifications to support the inclusion of a disability perspective Education Sector](#)
- ✓ [See: Justifications to support the inclusion of a disability perspective HIV and AIDS](#)
- ✓ [See: Justifications to support the inclusion of a disability perspective Water and Sanitation](#)
- ✓ [See: Justifications to support the inclusion of a disability perspective Urban Development](#)
- ✓ [See: Justifications to support the inclusion of a disability perspective Gender Issues](#)
- ✓ [See: Justifications to support the inclusion of a disability perspective Human Rights](#)

### 3. DESCRIPTION

#### 3.1. Objectives

*Overall objectives and purpose (specific objective) of the EC support*

☞ **Disability checkpoint:**

Are the objectives in line with the spirit of international conventions, commitments and programmes in relation to disability rights and inclusion?

✓ [See “Legal and Political Framework”](#)

Are the persons with disabilities clearly identified as a sub-group of beneficiaries?

✓ [See “Who are the stakeholders for disability inclusion”](#)

✓ [See “Different degrees of inclusion”](#)

#### 3.2. Expected results and main activities

*Strategy selected to remedy the identified problems the project is intended to address; description of the expected results and indication of the way they will be achieved*

☞ **Disability checkpoint:**

Is the project (activities and outputs) accessible to persons with functional limitations?

Can persons with disabilities equally participate in the activities?

Can they equally benefit from the outputs?

✓ [See “Planning for all”](#)

#### 3.3. Stakeholders

*Description of main stakeholders, including beneficiaries; consultation of civil society and other partners, as appropriate; ownership and assessment of institutional capacity*

☞ **Disability checkpoint:**

Is the degree of participation of persons with disabilities adequate with the relevant degree of inclusion?

✓ [See “Who are the stakeholders for disability inclusion”](#)

- ✓ [See “Different degrees of inclusion”](#)
- ✓ [See ‘Specific support to the stakeholders for disability inclusion’](#)

### 3.4. Risks and assumptions

*Identification of main risks and overview of mitigating measures; elements evidencing the sustainability of the proposed action*

#### ☞ **Disability checkpoint:**

While defining the risks and assumptions:

Do you assume that there is no person with disabilities in the project area?

Do you assume that persons with disabilities are not concerned by the sector of intervention?

- ✓ [See “Is disability relevant to your field of work”](#)

### 3.5. Conditionality

*Conditions to be met prior to and during implementation*

### 3.6. Crosscutting issues

*Environmental sustainability, gender equality, good governance and human rights (as appropriate)*

## 4. IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

### 4.1. Implementation method

*Choose the relevant option and delete the other:*

*Option 1:*

Centralised management

*Option 2 (in case of partly centralised implementation and partly decentralised implementation, option 2 should be used):*

Decentralised management

*Indicate the tasks (procurement and grant award procedures /payments) which are centralised or decentralised and the authority (ies) of the beneficiary country concerned.*

### 4.2. Budget and calendar

*- Indicative breakdown of overall amount by main project’s components. Where appropriate, specify also the contribution of the beneficiary country per budget item and whether this contribution is in kind or in cash.*

*- Where possible, proportions of financing reserved for grants and for contracts; adding for contracts, type of procurement (services, supply, works), and for*

grants, main category of intended beneficiary (notably for de jure or de facto monopoly, justify the choice of the contractor).

- Operational duration indicated in months as from signature of Financing Agreement.

☞ **Disability checkpoint:**

What are the extra costs that enable the inclusion of persons with disabilities in the project?

- ✓ [See “Budgeting the inclusion of a disability perspective”](#)

### 4.3. Procurement and award of grants procedures

*To be inserted without modification:*

All contracts implementing the financing agreement must be awarded and implemented in accordance with the General Regulations for works, supply and service contracts adopted by the ACP-EC Council of Ministers, supplemented by the General Conditions for contracts financed by EDF and the procedures and standard documents laid down and published by the Commission for the implementation of external operations, in force at the time of the launch of the procedure in question.

All programme estimates must respect the procedures and standard documents laid down by the Commission, in force at the time of the adoption of the programme estimates in question.

### 4.4. Performance monitoring

*Description of performance monitoring and overview of key indicators for measuring progress*

☞ **Disability checkpoint:**

Are the monitoring and evaluation arrangements sensitive to disability issues?

- ✓ [See: “High level of disability inclusion required in M&E”](#)

Disability sensitive indicators

- ✓ [See: “Disability sensitive indicators Health sector”](#)

- ✓ [See: “Disability sensitive indicators Education sector”](#)

- ✓ [See: “Disability sensitive indicators HIV AIDS”](#)
- ✓ [See: “Disability sensitive indicators Water and Sanitation sector”](#)
- ✓ [See: “Disability sensitive indicators Urban development sector”](#)
- ✓ [See: “Disability sensitive indicators Support to non state actors](#)
- ✓ [See: “Disability sensitive indicators Gender Issues](#)

#### 4.5. Evaluation and audit

*Evaluations (mid-term, final, ex post) and audit arrangements*

☞ **Disability checkpoint:**

Are the evaluation arrangements sensitive to disability issues?

- ✓ [See Evaluation How to include a disability perspective \(Part II\)](#)
- ✓ [See “Rapid disability analysis”](#)

---

#### **Annexes**

*As appropriate (i.e. where specific analysis is prescribed)*